# Research Article

# On Ideals of Implication Groupoids

#### Ravi Kumar Bandaru

Department of Engineering Mathematics, GITAM University, Hyderabad Campus, Medak District, Andhra Pradesh 502 329, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Ravi Kumar Bandaru, ravimaths83@gmail.com

Received 5 April 2012; Accepted 6 June 2012

Academic Editor: Shelton Peiris

Copyright © 2012 Ravi Kumar Bandaru. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ideals of implication groupoids are considered. Given a subset of a distributive implication groupoid, the smallest ideal containing it is constructed. A characterization of ideals in distributive implication groupoid using upper sets is given.

#### 1. Introduction

In 50-ties L-Henkin and T-Skolem introduced the notion of Hilbert algebra as an algebraic counterpart of intuitionistic logic. A Hilbert algebra [1] is an algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (H, *, 1)$  of type (2,0) satisfying the axioms:

(H1) 
$$x * (y * x) = 1$$
,  
(H2)  $(x * (y * z)) * ((x * y) * (x * z)) = 1$ ,  
(H3)  $x * y = 1$  and  $y * x = 1$  imply  $x = y$ .

One can easily show that (H2) can be replaced by two rather simpler axioms:

(LD) 
$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$$
 (left distributivity),  
(E)  $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$  (exchange).

Chajda and Halaš [2] introduced the concept of distributive implication groupoid and studied deductive systems, ideals, and congruence relations in distributive implication groupoid. In this paper we consider ideals in distributive implication groupoid. Given a subset of a distributive implication groupoid, we make the smallest ideal containing it. We provide an equivalent condition of the ideals using the notion of upper sets.

### 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (see [2]). An algebra (A, \*, 1) of type (2, 0) is called an implication groupoid if it satisfies the identities:

(1) 
$$x * x = 1$$
,

(2) 
$$1 * x = x$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

Example 2.2. Let  $A = \{1, a, b\}$  in which \* is defined by

Then (A, \*, 1) is an implication groupoid.

Example 2.3. Let  $A = \{1, a, b, c\}$  in which \* is defined by

Then (A, \*, 1) is an implication groupoid.

Definition 2.4 (see [2]). An implication groupoid (A, \*, 1) of type (2, 0) is called a distributive implication groupoid if it satisfies the following identity:

(LD) 
$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$$
 (left distributivity) (2.3)

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

Example 2.5. Let  $A = \{1, a, b, c, d\}$  in which \* is defined by

Then (A, \*, 1) is a distributive implication groupoid.

In every implication groupoid, one can introduce the so-called induced relation  $\leq$  by the setting

$$x \le y \quad \text{iff } x * y = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

**Lemma 2.6** (see [2]). Let (A, \*, 1) be a distributive implication groupoid. Then A satisfies the identities

$$x * 1 = 1,$$
  $x * (y * x) = 1.$  (2.6)

Moreover, the induced relation  $\leq$  is a quasiorder on A, and the following relationships are satisfied:

- (i)  $x \le 1$ ,
- (ii)  $x \le y * x$ ,
- (iii) x \* ((x \* y) \* y) = 1,
- (iv)  $1 \le x$  implies x = 1,
- (v)  $y * z \le (x * y) * (x * z)$ ,
- (vi)  $x \le y$  implies  $y * z \le x * z$ ,
- (vii)  $x * (y * z) \le y * (x * z)$ ,
- (viii)  $x * y \le (y * z) * (x * z)$ .

## 3. On Ideals of Implication Groupoids

In this section, we study some properties of ideals in a distributive implication groupoid and give the smallest ideal containing a subset of a distributive implication groupoid. We characterize ideals in terms of upper sets.

*Definition 3.1* (see [2]). Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, *, 1)$  be an implication groupoid. A subset  $I \subseteq A$  is called an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  if

- $(I1) 1 \in I$ ,
- (*I*2)  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in I$  imply  $x * y \in I$ ,
- (*I*3)  $x \in A$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in I$  imply  $(y_2 * (y_1 * x)) * x \in I$ .

*Remark 3.2.* If *I* is an ideal of an implication groupoid  $\mathcal{A} = (A, *, 1)$  and  $a \in I$ ,  $x \in A$ , then  $(a * x) * x \in I$ .

*Definition* 3.3 (see [2]). Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, *, 1)$  be an implication groupoid. A subset  $D \subseteq A$  is called a deductive system of  $\mathcal{A}$  if

- (D1)  $1 \in D$ ,
- (D2)  $x \in D$  and  $x * y \in D$  imply  $y \in D$ .

**Lemma 3.4** (see [2]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an implication groupoid. Then every ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a deductive system of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Converse of the above lemma does not hold in general.

*Example 3.5.* From Example 2.2, we can see that  $\{1, a\}$  is its deductive system which is not an ideal since  $b * a = b \notin \{1, a\}$ .

**Theorem 3.6** (see [2]). A nonempty subset I of a distributive implication groupoid  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ideal if and only if it is a deductive system of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For any  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ ,  $a \in A$ , we define

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_1 * a = x_n * (\dots * (x_1 * a) \dots).$$
(3.1)

**Lemma 3.7.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y, z \in A$  such that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $z * x \leq z * y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in A$  and  $x \le y$ . Then x \* y = 1 and hence (z \* x) \* (z \* y) = z \* (x \* y) = z \* 1 = 1. Therefore  $z * x \le z * y$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y \in A$  such that x \* y = 1. Then for all  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * x = 1$  implies  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * y = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have x \* y = 1; that is,  $x \le y$ , and from Lemma 3.7, we can see that

$$1 = \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i * x \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i * y.$$
 (3.2)

Therefore, from Lemma 2.6(iv),  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i * y = 1$ .

We denote the set of all ideals of *A* by  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . It is obvious that  $\{1\}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ .

*Example 3.9.* From Example 2.2, we can see that  $\mathcal{O}(A) = \{\{1\}, A\}$ .

*Example 3.10.* From Example 2.5, we can see that  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{\{1\}, \{1, a, d\}, \{1, b, c\}, A\}.$ 

Example 3.11. Let  $A = \{1, a, b, c, d\}$  in which \* is defined by

Then (A, \*, 1) is an implication groupoid. We can see that  $\mathcal{I}(A) = \{\{1\}, \{1, a\}, \{1, a, c, d\}, A\}$ .

The following theorem is straightforward.

**Theorem 3.12.** If  $I_i$  ( $i \in \Delta$ ) are ideals of an implication groupoid A, then  $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i$  is an ideal of A.

*Note 1.* In an implication groupoid, union of two ideals need not be an ideal. From Example 2.3, we can see that  $I = \{1, a\}$  and  $J = \{1, b\}$  are ideals of A but  $I \cup J = \{1, a, b\}$  is not an ideal of A.

The following is a characterization of ideals

**Theorem 3.13.** Let I be a subset of a distributive implication groupoid A containing A. Then A if and only if for any A, A if A if A is A if A if A if A is A if A if A is A is A if A is A if A is A is A if A is A is A in A in A is A in A

*Proof.* Let  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . Assume  $a, b \in I$  and  $x \in A$  such that a \* (b \* x) = 1. Since I is an ideal of A, we have  $a * (b * x) \in I$ . Since every ideal of A is deductive system, by applying (D2) twice, we conclude that  $x \in I$ . Conversely, assume that the condition holds. Since ideals and deductive systems coincide in distributive implication groupoid, it is enough to show that I satisfies (D1) and (D2). Since  $I \in I$ , the condition (D1) holds. Suppose  $x \in I$  and  $x * a \in I$ . Then x \* ((x \* a) \* a) = (x \* (x \* a)) \* (x \* a) = ((x \* x)) \* (x \* a) = (1 \* (x \* a)) \* (x \* a) = (x \* a) \* (x \* a) = 1. Therefore  $x * ((x * a) * a) \in I$  and hence  $a \in I$ . Thus  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . □

**Corollary 3.14.** Let I be a subset of a distributive implication groupoid A containing A. Then A if and only if for any A, A, A, A if A if A if A if A is A if A in A if A is A in A

Definition 3.15. For every subset  $X \subseteq A$ , the smallest ideal of A which contains X, that is, the intersection of all ideals  $I \supseteq X$ , is said to be the ideal generated by X, and will be denoted by (X]. Obviously,  $(\emptyset] = \{1\}$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then x \* (y \* z) = 1 if and only if y \* (x \* z) = 1.

*Proof.* Let x\*(y\*z)=1. Then y\*(x\*(y\*z))=y\*1=1 and hence (y\*x)\*(y\*(y\*z))=1. Therefore (y\*x)\*(y\*z)=1. Thus y\*(x\*z)=1. Similarly, we can prove the converse.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.17.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $X(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq A$ . Then

$$(X] = \left\{ x \in A : x = 1 \text{ or } \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i * x = 1 \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X \right\}.$$
 (3.4)

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{x \in A : x = 1 \text{ or } \prod_{i=1}^n a_i * x = 1 \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, \dots a_n \in X\}$ . Since a \* a = 1 for all  $a \in X$ , we obtain  $X \subseteq I$ . Obviously  $1 \in I$ . Let  $x * y \in I$  and  $x \in I$ . To prove  $y \in I$ , we will consider three cases. Case 1: x = 1. Then  $y = 1 * y \in I$ . Case 2: x \* y = 1 and  $x \neq 1$ . Since  $x \in I$  and  $x \neq 1$ , we conclude that  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * x = 1$  for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ . From Lemma 3.8,  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * y = 1$ . Therefore  $y \in I$ . Case 3:  $x * y \neq 1$  and  $x \neq 1$ . Then there are

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \in X$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * (x * y) = 1$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^m b_j * x = 1$ . Applying Lemma 3.16, we deduce that  $x \leq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i * y$  and by Lemma 3.7, we see that

$$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{m} b_j * x \le \prod_{j=1}^{m} b_j * \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i * y\right).$$
 (3.5)

By Lemma 2.6(iv),  $\prod_{i=1}^m b_i * (\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * y) = 1$ . Hence I is an ideal of A.

Suppose that U is any ideal of A containing X. Let  $x \in I$ . If x = 1, then obviously  $x \in U$ . Assume that  $x \ne 1$ . Then there are  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in X$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i * x = 1$ . Since  $X \subseteq U$ , it follows that  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in U$ . Therefore  $x \in U$  by Corollary 3.14. Thus  $I \subseteq U$  and hence I = (X].

Let  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{O}(A)$ ; we define the meet of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  (denoted by  $I_1 \wedge I_2$ ) by  $I_1 \wedge I_2 = I_1 \cap I_2$  and the join of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  (denoted by  $I_1 \vee I_2$ ) by  $I_1 \vee I_2 = (I_1 \cup I_2]$ . We note that  $(\mathcal{O}(A), \wedge, \vee)$  is a lattice.

**Theorem 3.18.**  $(\mathcal{I}(A), \wedge, \vee)$  is a complete lattice.

Let A be a distributive implication groupoid. For any  $x, y \in A$ , consider a set

$$A(x) = \{ z \in A \mid x * z = 1 \}, \qquad A(x, y) = \{ z \in A \mid x * (y * z) = 1 \}. \tag{3.6}$$

The set A(x) (resp., A(x,y)) is called an upper set of x (resp., of x and y). Obviously,  $1, x \in A(x)$  and  $1, x, y \in A(x,y)$ . We know that  $A(1) = \{1\}$  is always an ideal of A. But the sets A(x) and A(x,y) need not be ideals of A in an implication groupoid, since  $A(a) = \{a\}$  and  $A(a,1) = \{a\}$  are not ideals of A in Example 2.2. The following lemma can be proved easily.

**Lemma 3.19.** If A is an implication groupoid, then A(u) = A(u, 1).

**Theorem 3.20.** If A is a distributive implication groupoid, then, for any  $x, y \in A$ , the set A(x, y) is an ideal of A.

*Proof.* Let *A* be a distributive implication groupoid. Clearly  $1 \in A(x, y)$ . Let  $r \in A(x, y)$  and  $r * s \in A(x, y)$ . Then x \* (y \* r) = 1 and x \* (y \* (r \* s)) = 1. Now x \* (y \* (r \* s)) = 1 implies that (x \* (y \* r)) \* (x \* (y \* s)) = 1 which gives x \* (y \* s) = 1. Therefore  $s \in A(x, y)$ . Hence A(x, y) is an ideal of *A*.

**Corollary 3.21.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid. Then for any  $x \in A$ , the set A(x) is an ideal of A.

**Lemma 3.22.** If A is a distributive implication groupoid, then  $A(x) \subseteq A(x,y)$  for any  $x,y \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.23.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $a \in A$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $a \le x$  for any  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii) A = A(a),
- (iii) A = A(a, x) = A(x, a) for any  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): straightforward.

(ii) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (iii): by Lemma 3.22,  $A = A(a) \subseteq A(a, x) \subseteq A$ .

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): A = A(a, 1) = A(a).$$

**Theorem 3.24.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $a \in A$ . Then  $A(a) = \bigcap_{b \in A} A(a,b)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.22,  $A(a) \subseteq A(a,b)$  for any  $a,b \in A$ . Therefore  $A(a) \subseteq \bigcap_{b \in A} A(a,b)$ . If  $c \in \bigcap_{b \in A} A(a,b)$ , then  $c \in A(a,b)$  for all  $b \in A$  and so  $c \in A(a,1)$ . Hence 1 = a \* (1\*c) = a \* c, which proves  $c \in A(a)$ . This means that  $\bigcap_{b \in A} A(a,b) \subseteq A(a)$ .

**Corollary 3.25.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid. Then for any  $a \in A$ ,  $A(a) = A(a,1) = \bigcap_{b \in A} A(a,b)$ .

**Theorem 3.26.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid. Then A(a,b) = A(b,a) for any  $a,b \in A$ 

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.16.

The following is a characterization of ideals.

**Theorem 3.27.** Let I be a nonempty subset of a distributive implication groupoid A. Then I is an ideal of A if and only if  $A(a,b) \subseteq I$  for all  $a,b \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let *I* be an ideal of *A* and  $a,b \in I$ . If  $c \in A(a,b)$ , then  $a*(b*c) \in I$  and so  $z \in I$ . Hence  $A(a,b) \subseteq I$ . Conversely, assume that  $A(a,b) \subseteq I$  for all  $a,b \in I$ . Note that  $1 \in A(a,b) \subseteq I$ . Let  $x \in I$  and  $x*y \in I$ . Since (x\*y)\*(x\*y) = 1, we have  $y \in A(x*y,x) \subseteq I$ . We conclude that *I* is an ideal of *A*. □

**Corollary 3.28.** *Let* A *be a distributive implication groupoid. If* I *is an ideal of* A*, then*  $A(a) \subseteq I$  *for any*  $a \in I$ .

The converse of the above corollary need not be true in general. Consider the following example.

Example 3.29. Let  $A = \{1, a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$  in which \* is defined by

*	a	b	C	d	е	f	g	1
a	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	С	1	С	g	1	1	g	1
С	f	f	1	f	1	f	1	1
d	С	е	С	1	е	1	1	1
е	а	f	f	d	1	f	g	1
f	С	е	С	g	е	1	g	1
8	а	b	С	f	е	f	1	1
1	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	1

Then (A, \*, 1) is a distributive implication groupoid. Here  $I = \{1, b, e, f, g\}$  contains A(1), A(b), A(e), A(f), A(g) but I is not an ideal of A.

**Theorem 3.30.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $y \in A(x)$  if and only if A(x) = A(x, y).

*Proof.* Assume that  $y \in A(x)$ . Then x \* y = 1. We know that  $A(x) \subseteq A(x,y)$ . For any  $z \in A(x,y)$ , we have 1 = x\*(y\*z) = (x\*y)\*(x\*z) = x\*z and so  $z \in A(x)$ . Hence A(x) = A(x,y). Conversely, if A(x) = A(x,y), then  $y \in A(x,y) = A(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.31.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $x \le y$  if and only if  $A(y) \subseteq A(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \le y$ . Then x \* y = 1. For any  $z \in A(y)$ , we have y \* z = 1. Also x \* z = 1 \* (x \* z) = (x \* y) \* (x \* z) = x \* (y \* z) = x \* 1 = 1 and so  $z \in A(x)$ . Hence  $A(y) \subseteq A(x)$ . Conversely, if  $A(y) \subseteq A(x)$ , then  $y \in A(x)$  and hence  $x \le y$ .

**Corollary 3.32.** Let A be a distributive implication groupoid and  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $x \le y$  and  $y \le x$  if and only if A(x) = A(y).

Example 3.33. Let  $A = \{1, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the following table:

Then (A, \*, 1) is a distributive implication groupoid. We can see that  $a \le c$ ,  $c \le a$  and  $A(a) = A(c) = \{1, a, c\}$ .

**Theorem 3.34.** Let I be an ideal of A. Then  $I = \bigcup_{x,y \in I} A(x,y)$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $A(x,y) \subseteq I$  for all  $x,y \in I$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{x,y \in I} A(x,y) \subseteq I$ . Let  $z \in I$ . Then  $z \in A(z) = A(z,1) \subseteq \bigcup_{x,y \in I} A(x,y)$ .

**Corollary 3.35.** *If* I *is an ideal of* A,  $I = \bigcup_{x \in I} A(x, 1)$ .

Finally we conclude this paper with the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.36.** Let I be an ideal of A. Then  $I = \bigcup_{x \in I} A(x)$ .

*Proof.* Since A(x,1) = A(x), we have, by Corollary 3.35,  $I = \bigcup_{x \in I} A(x)$ .

## References

[1] W. A. Dudek, "On ideals in Hilbert algebras," Mathematica, vol. 38, pp. 31–34, 1999.

[2] I. Chajda and R. Halaš, "Distributive implication groupoids," *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 484–492, 2007.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com











Journal of Discrete Mathematics











